UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,218	06/23/2006	Mariko Miyachi	Q95231	9720
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			CULLEN, SEAN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/584,218	MIYACHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sean P. Cullen, Ph.D.	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Margon</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 37 and 41-48 is/are pending in the appears 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 37 and 41-48 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original original contents are considered to by the Examiner.	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/07/2011.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. **Claims 37 and 41-48** are pending.
- 2. Claims 1-36 and 38-40 are canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. **Claim 46** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 46 recites the limitation "the anode activator" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is unclear which part of the anode is formed by mechanical processing.

5. Applicants' amendment(s) have overcome the rejection(s) of **claim 37** under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 37, 41, 42, 44-46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yasunami (U.S. 6,371,995 B1).

Art Unit: 1725

Page 3

Regarding **claim 37**, Yasunami discloses an anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) for an anode (2) in a non-aqueous electrolyte secondary battery (Fig. 1) having at least the anode (2), a cathode (1) and a lithium-ion conducting non-aqueous electrolyte (see nonaqueous electrolytic solution, abstract), comprising:

- particulate composite (see negative electrode material, C7/L44-47) which comprises
 - o an Si oxide (see SiO, C7/L65-C8/L3) and
 - at least one noble-metal selected from the group consisting of Pd, Pt, Au,
 Rh, Ir, Ru, Os and Re (see dopants, C10/L52-59).

Regarding **claim 41**, Yasunami discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

• wherein when the Si oxide is expressed in SiO_z, $0.8 \le z \le 2$ (see SiO, C7/L65-C8/L3).

Regarding **claim 42**, Yasunami discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

• further comprising lithium metal (see lithium, C7/L65-C8/L3).

Regarding **claim 44**, Yasunami discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

• partially or wholly having an amorphous structure (see amorphous, C8/L4-17).

Regarding **claim 45**, Yasunami discloses an anode (2) for a secondary battery (Fig. 1), comprising:

Art Unit: 1725

an activator layer (see negative electrode sheet, C4/L31-47) which comprises an anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) for a secondary battery (Fig. 1) on at least one side of an anode collector (see current collector, C7/L19-29),

- wherein the anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) comprises
 - particulate composite (see negative electrode material, C7/L44-47) which comprises
 - an Si oxide (see SiO, C7/L65-C8/L3) and
 - at least one noble-metal selected from the group consisting of Pd,
 Pt, Au, Rh, Ir, Ru, Os and Re (see dopants, C10/L52-59).

Regarding **claim 46**, Yasunami discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode for a secondary battery:

• wherein the anode activator (see negative electrode sheet, C4/L31-47) is formed by mechanical processing (C7/L19-29).

Regarding **claim 48**, Yasunami discloses a non-aqueous electrolytic-solution secondary battery (Fig. 1) comprising:

- an anode (2) comprising:
 - an activator layer (see negative electrode sheet, C4/L31-47) which comprises an anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) for a secondary battery (Fig. 1) on at least one side of an anode collector (see current collector, C7/L19-29),

Art Unit: 1725

wherein the anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract)
 comprises

- particulate composite (see negative electrode material, C7/L44-47)
 which comprises
 - an Si oxide (see SiO, C7/L65-C8/L3) and
 - at least one noble-metal selected from the group consisting of Pd, Pt, Au, Rh, Ir, Ru, Os and Re (see dopants, C10/L52-59).
- 8. Claims 37, 41, 42, 44-46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tomiyama et al. (U.S. 6,053,953 B1).

Regarding **claim 37**, Tomiyama et al. discloses an anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) for an anode (2) in a non-aqueous electrolyte secondary battery (Fig. 1) having at least the anode (2), a cathode (1) and a lithium-ion conducting non-aqueous electrolyte (see nonaqueous electrolyte, abstract), comprising:

- particulate composite (see negative electrode material, C9/L1-14) which comprises
 - o an Si oxide (see SiO, C9/L43-49) and
 - at least one noble-metal selected from the group consisting of Pd, Pt, Au, Rh, Ir, Ru, Os and Re (see dopants, C13/L40-46).

Regarding **claim 41**, Tomiyama et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

Art Unit: 1725

• wherein when the Si oxide is expressed in SiO_z , $0.8 \le z \le 2$ (see SiO, C9/L43-49).

Page 6

Regarding **claim 42**, Tomiyama et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

• further comprising lithium metal (see lithium, C9/L43-49).

Regarding **claim 44**, Tomiyama et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

• partially or wholly having an amorphous structure (see amorphous, C9/L50-64).

Regarding **claim 45**, Tomiyama et al. discloses an anode (2) for a secondary battery (Fig. 1), comprising:

- an activator layer (see negative electrode material mixture, C5/L41-50) which comprises an anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) for a secondary battery (Fig. 1) on at least one side of an anode collector (see current collector, C5/L41-50),
- wherein the anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) comprises
 - particulate composite (see negative electrode material, C9/L1-14) which comprises
 - an Si oxide (see SiO, C9/L43-49) and
 - at least one noble-metal selected from the group consisting of Pd,
 Pt, Au, Rh, Ir, Ru, Os and Re (see dopants, C13/L40-46).

Regarding **claim 46**, Tomiyama et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode for a secondary battery:

Art Unit: 1725

• wherein the anode activator (see negative electrode material mixture, C5/L41-50) is formed by mechanical processing (C5/L41-50).

Page 7

Regarding **claim 48**, Tomiyama et al. discloses a non-aqueous electrolytic-solution secondary battery (Fig. 1) comprising:

- an anode (2) comprising:
 - o an activator layer (see negative electrode material mixture, C5/L41-50) which comprises an anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) for a secondary battery (Fig. 1) on at least one side of an anode collector (see current collector, C5/L41-50),
 - wherein the anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract)
 comprises
 - particulate composite (see negative electrode material, C9/L1-14)
 which comprises
 - an Si oxide (see SiO, C9/L43-49) and
 - at least one noble-metal selected from the group consisting of Pd, Pt, Au, Rh, Ir, Ru, Os and Re (see dopants, C13/L40-46).
- 9. Claims 37, 41, 42, 44-46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Asanuma et al. (U.S. 6,001,139 A).

Regarding **claim 37**, Asanuma et al. discloses an anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) for an anode (2) in a non-aqueous electrolyte secondary battery (Fig. 2) having

Art Unit: 1725

Page 8

at least the anode (2), a cathode (1) and a lithium-ion conducting non-aqueous electrolyte (see nonaqueous electrolyte, abstract), comprising:

- particulate composite (see negative electrode material, C11/L1-13) which comprises
 - o an Si oxide (see SiO, C11/L40-46) and
 - at least one noble-metal selected from the group consisting of Pd, Pt, Au,
 Rh, Ir, Ru, Os and Re (see metals, C15/L31-37).

Regarding **claim 41**, Asanuma et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

• wherein when the Si oxide is expressed in SiO_z, $0.8 \le z \le 2$ (see SiO, C11/L40-46).

Regarding **claim 42**, Asanuma et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

• further comprising lithium metal (see lithium, C11/L40-46).

Regarding **claim 44**, Asanuma et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

• partially or wholly having an amorphous structure (see amorphous, C11/L47-60).

Regarding **claim 45**, Asanuma et al. discloses an anode (2) for a secondary battery (Fig. 2), comprising:

- an activator layer (see material mixture, C8/L54-67) which comprises an anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) for a secondary battery (Fig. 2) on at least one side of an anode collector (see current collector, C8/L54-67),
- wherein the anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) comprises

Art Unit: 1725

 particulate composite (see negative electrode material, C11/L1-13) which comprises

- an Si oxide (see SiO, C11/L40-46) and
- at least one noble-metal selected from the group consisting of Pd,
 Pt, Au, Rh, Ir, Ru, Os and Re (see metals, C15/L31-37).

Regarding **claim 46**, Asanuma et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode for a secondary battery:

• wherein the anode activator (material mixture, C8/L54-67) is formed by mechanical processing (C8/L54-67).

Regarding **claim 48**, Asanuma et al. discloses a non-aqueous electrolytic-solution secondary battery (Fig. 2) comprising:

- an anode (2) comprising:
 - o an activator layer (see material mixture, C8/L54-67) which comprises an anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) for a secondary battery (Fig. 2) on at least one side of an anode collector (see current collector, C8/L54-67),
 - wherein the anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract)
 comprises
 - particulate composite (see negative electrode material, C11/L1-13)
 which comprises
 - an Si oxide (see SiO, C11/L40-46) and

Art Unit: 1725

• at least one noble-metal selected from the group consisting of Pd, Pt, Au, Rh, Ir, Ru, Os and Re (see metals, C15/L31-37).

10. Claims 37, 41, 42, 44-46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inoue et al. (U.S. 5,707,756 A).

Regarding **claim 37**, Inoue et al. discloses an anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) for an anode (4) in a non-aqueous electrolyte secondary battery (Fig. 1) having at least the anode (4), a cathode (5) and a lithium-ion conducting non-aqueous electrolyte (see nonaqueous electrolyte, C6/L19-27), comprising:

- particulate composite (see negative electrode material, C21/L1-15) which comprises
 - o an Si oxide (see silicon dioxide, C21/L1-15) and
 - at least one noble-metal selected from the group consisting of Pd, Pt, Au, Rh, Ir, Ru, Os and Re (see metals, C22/L50-60).

Regarding **claim 41**, Inoue et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

wherein when the Si oxide is expressed in SiO_z, 0.8≤z≤2 (see silicon dioxide,
 C21/L1-15).

Regarding **claim 42**, Inoue et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

• further comprising lithium metal (see lithium, C21/L26-32).

Art Unit: 1725

Regarding **claim 44**, Inoue et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

• partially or wholly having an amorphous structure (see amorphous, C21/L33-37).

Regarding **claim 45**, Inoue et al. discloses an anode (4) for a secondary battery (Fig. 1), comprising:

- an activator layer (see negative electrode composition, C24/L21-30) which
 comprises an anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) for a
 secondary battery (Fig. 1) on at least one side of an anode collector (see current
 collector, C24/L21-30),
- wherein the anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) comprises
 - particulate composite (see negative electrode material, C21/L1-15) which comprises
 - an Si oxide (see silicon dioxide, C21/L1-15) and
 - at least one noble-metal selected from the group consisting of Pd,
 Pt, Au, Rh, Ir, Ru, Os and Re (see metals, C22/L50-60).

Regarding **claim 46**, Inoue et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode for a secondary battery:

 wherein the anode activator (see negative electrode composition, C24/L21-30) is formed by mechanical processing (C24/L21-30).

Regarding **claim 48**, Inoue et al. discloses a non-aqueous electrolytic-solution secondary battery (Fig. 1) comprising:

• an anode (4) comprising:

Art Unit: 1725

o an activator layer (see negative electrode composition, C24/L21-30) which comprises an anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract) for a secondary battery (Fig. 1) on at least one side of an anode collector (see current collector, C24/L21-30),

- wherein the anode material (see negative electrode material, abstract)
 comprises
 - particulate composite (see negative electrode material, C21/L1-15)
 which comprises
 - an Si oxide (see silicon dioxide, C21/L1-15) and
 - at least one noble-metal selected from the group consisting of Pd, Pt, Au, Rh, Ir, Ru, Os and Re (see metals, C22/L50-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 12. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasunami (U.S. 6,371,995 B1).

Regarding **claim 43**, Yasunami discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

wherein when a ratio of Si atoms to noble-metal atoms is expressed in a:b,
 0<b/a<0.05 (see dopants, C10/L52-59).

Art Unit: 1725

Although Yasunami does not explicitly discloses a range of 0.01
b/a, Yasunami does disclose an overlapping range. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have selected the overlapping portion of the ranges disclosed by the reference because selection of overlapping portion of ranges has been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness. *In re Malagari*, 182 USPQ 549.

13. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasunami (U.S. 6,371,995 B1) as applied to claim 45 above, and further in view of Takada (U.S. 2004/0166409 A1).

Regarding **claim 47**, Yasunami discloses all claim limitations set forth above, but does not explicitly disclose an anode for a secondary battery:

• wherein a center-line average roughness (Ra) of the anode collector is 1/10 or more of a thickness of the anode collector.

Takada et al. discloses an anode (10) for a secondary battery (Fig. 2) wherein a center-line average roughness of the anode collector is 1/10 or more (see Example 2-4) of a thickness of the anode collector (11) to prevent the peeling of the anode active material layer from the current collector ([0022]). Yasunami and Takada et al. are analogous art because they are directed to lithium secondary batteries. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the anode of Yasunami with the current collector of Takada et al. in order to prevent the peeling of the anode active material layer from the current collector.

14. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomiyama et al. (U.S. 6,053,953 B1).

Regarding **claim 43**, Tomiyama et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

wherein when a ratio of Si atoms to noble-metal atoms is expressed in a:b,
 0<b/a<0.05 (see dopants, C13/L40-46).

Although Tomiyama et al. does not explicitly discloses a range of 0.01
b/a, Tomiyama et al. does disclose an overlapping range. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have selected the overlapping portion of the ranges disclosed by the reference because selection of overlapping portion of ranges has been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness. *In re Malagari*, 182 USPQ 549.

15. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomiyama et al. (U.S. 6,053,953 B1) as applied to claim 45 above, and further in view of Takada (U.S. 2004/0166409 A1).

Regarding **claim 47**, Tomiyama et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above, but does not explicitly disclose an anode for a secondary battery:

 wherein a center-line average roughness (Ra) of the anode collector is 1/10 or more of a thickness of the anode collector.

Takada et al. discloses an anode (10) for a secondary battery (Fig. 2) wherein a centerline average roughness of the anode collector is 1/10 or more (see Example 2-4) of a thickness of the anode collector (11) to prevent the peeling of the anode active material layer from the current collector ([0022]). Tomiyama et al. and Takada et al. are analogous art because they are directed Art Unit: 1725

to lithium secondary batteries. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the anode of Tomiyama et al. with the current collector of Takada et al. in order to prevent the peeling of the anode active material layer from the current collector.

16. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asanuma et al. (U.S. 6,001,139 A).

Regarding **claim 43**, Asanuma et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

wherein when a ratio of Si atoms to noble-metal atoms is expressed in a:b,
 0<b/a<0.05 (see metals, C15/L31-37).

Although Asanuma et al. does not explicitly discloses a range of 0.01
b/a, Asanuma et al. does disclose an overlapping range. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have selected the overlapping portion of the ranges disclosed by the reference because selection of overlapping portion of ranges has been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness. *In re Malagari*, 182 USPQ 549.

17. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asanuma et al. (U.S. 6,001,139 A) as applied to claim 45 above, and further in view of Takada (U.S. 2004/0166409 A1).

Regarding **claim 47**, Asanuma et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above, but does not explicitly disclose an anode for a secondary battery:

Art Unit: 1725

• wherein a center-line average roughness (Ra) of the anode collector is 1/10 or more of a thickness of the anode collector.

Takada et al. discloses an anode (10) for a secondary battery (Fig. 2) wherein a center-line average roughness of the anode collector is 1/10 or more (see Example 2-4) of a thickness of the anode collector (11) to prevent the peeling of the anode active material layer from the current collector ([0022]). Asanuma et al. and Takada et al. are analogous art because they are directed to lithium secondary batteries. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the anode of Asanuma et al. with the current collector of Takada et al. in order to prevent the peeling of the anode active material layer from the current collector.

18. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. (U.S. 5,707,756 A).

Regarding **claim 43**, Asanuma et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above and further discloses an anode material for a secondary battery:

wherein when a ratio of Si atoms to noble-metal atoms is expressed in a:b,
 0<b/a<0.20 (see metals, C22/L50-60).

Although Inoue et al. does not explicitly discloses a range of 0.01
b/a, Asanuma et al. does disclose an overlapping range. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have selected the overlapping portion of the ranges disclosed by the reference because selection of overlapping portion of ranges has been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness. *In re Malagari*, 182 USPQ 549.

Art Unit: 1725

19. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. (U.S.

5,707,756 A)as applied to claim 45 above, and further in view of Takada (U.S. 2004/0166409)

A1).

Regarding claim 47, Inoue et al. discloses all claim limitations set forth above, but does

not explicitly disclose an anode for a secondary battery:

• wherein a center-line average roughness (Ra) of the anode collector is 1/10 or

more of a thickness of the anode collector.

Takada et al. discloses an anode (10) for a secondary battery (Fig. 2) wherein a center-

line average roughness of the anode collector is 1/10 or more (see Example 2-4) of a thickness of

the anode collector (11) to prevent the peeling of the anode active material layer from the current

collector ([0022]). Inoue et al. and Takada et al. are analogous art because they are directed to

lithium secondary batteries. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time of the invention to make the anode of Inoue et al. with the current collector of

Takada et al. in order to prevent the peeling of the anode active material layer from the current

collector.

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 37 and 41-48** have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1725

Conclusion

21. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean P. Cullen, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)270-1251. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Basia Ridley can be reached on 571-272-1453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. P. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 1725

> /Basia Ridley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1725